arXiv:1108.6324v2 [math.CA] 15 May 2012

NONEXISTENCE OF EXTREMALS FOR THE ADJOINT RESTRICTION INEQUALITY ON THE HYPERBOLOID

RENÉ QUILODRÁN

Abstract. We study the problem of existence of extremizers for the L^2 to L^p adjoint Fourier restriction inequalities on the hyperboloid in dimensions 3 and 4, in which cases p is an even integer. We will use the method developed by Foschi in [4] to show that extremizers do not exist.

1. Introduction

For $d \geqslant 1$ let $\mathbb{H}^d$ denote the hyperboloid in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, $\mathbb{H}^d = \{(y, \sqrt{1+|y|^2}) : y \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$, equipped with the measure $\sigma(y,y') = \delta(y' - \sqrt{1+|y|^2})\frac{dydy'}{\sqrt{1+|y|^2}}$ defined by duality as

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^d} g(y,y')d\sigma(y,y') = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y, \sqrt{1+|y|^2})\frac{dy}{\sqrt{1+|y|^2}}.$$

for all $g(y,y') \in C_0(\mathbb{H}^d)$.

A function $f : \mathbb{H}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ can be identified with a function from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to $\mathbb{R}$ and in what follows we will do so. We will denote the $L^p(\mathbb{H}^d, \sigma)$ norm of a function f as $\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{H}^d)}$, $\|f\|_{L^p(\sigma)}$ or $\|f\|_p$.

The extension or adjoint Fourier restriction operator for $\mathbb{H}^d$ is given by

$$Tf(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ix\cdot y}e^{it\sqrt{1+|y|^2}}f(y)(1+|y|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dy \tag{1}$$

where $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. With the Fourier transform in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ defined to be $\hat{g}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} e^{-ix\cdot\xi}g(x)dx$, we see that $Tf(x,t) = \widehat{f\sigma}(-x,-t)$.

It is known, [9], that there exists $C_{d,p} < \infty$ such that for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{H}^d)$ the following estimate for Tf holds

$$\|Tf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \leqslant C_{d,p}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^d)} \tag{2}$$

provided that

$$\begin{aligned} 2(d+2)/d \leqslant\, &p \leqslant 2(d+1)/(d-1), \text{ if } d > 1 \\ 6 \leqslant\, &p < \infty, \text{ if } d = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

For p satisfying (3) we will denote by $\mathbf{H}_{d,p}$ the best constant in (2),

$$\mathbf{H}_{d,p} = \sup_{0\neq f\in L^2(\mathbb{H}^d)} \frac{\|Tf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})}}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^d)}}.$$

Research supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0901569.

We will also look at the two sheeted hyperboloid $\bar{\mathbb{H}}^d = \{(y,y') \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : y'^2 = 1+|y|^2\}$. We endow it with the measure $\bar{\sigma} = \sigma^+ + \sigma^-$ where

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^+(y,y') &= \sigma(y,y') = \delta(y' - \sqrt{1+|y|^2})\frac{dydy'}{\sqrt{1+|y|^2}},\\ \sigma^-(y,y') &= \delta(y' + \sqrt{1+|y|^2})\frac{dydy'}{\sqrt{1+|y|^2}}.\end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\bar{T}$ the corresponding adjoint Fourier restriction operator, $\bar{T}f = \widehat{f\sigma^+} + \widehat{f\sigma^-}$. If (d,p) satisfies (3), then the following constant is finite,

$$\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{d,p} = \sup_{f \in L^2(\bar{\mathbb{H}}^d)} \frac{\|\bar{T}f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})}}{\|f\|_{L^2(\bar{\mathbb{H}}^d)}}. \tag{4}$$

Definition 1.1. An extremizing sequence for the inequality (2) is a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of functions in $L^2(\mathbb{H}^d)$ satisfying $\|f_n\|_{L^2(\sigma)} \leqslant 1$, such that $\|Tf_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \to \mathbf{H}_{d,p}$ as $n \to \infty$.

An extremizer for (2) is a function $f \neq 0$ which satisfies $\|Tf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} = \mathbf{H}_{d,p}\|f\|_{L^2(\sigma)}$.

An analogous definition of extremizing sequence and extremizer will be used for (4).

We will be interested in the following pairs of (d,p): $(2,4)$, $(2,6)$ and $(3,4)$, which are the only cases for $d>1$ where p is an even integer. The main result of this paper is:

Theorem 1.2. *The values of the best constants are,* $\mathbf{H}_{2,4} = 2^{3/4}\pi$, $\mathbf{H}_{2,6} = (2\pi)^{5/6}$ *and* $\mathbf{H}_{3,4} = (2\pi)^{5/4}$. *In each of the three cases of pairs* (d,p) *extremizers do not exist.*

For the two sheeted hyperboloid the best constants are, $\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{2,4} = (3/2)^{1/4}\mathbf{H}_{2,4}$, $\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{2,6} = (5/2)^{1/3}\mathbf{H}_{2,6}$ *and* $\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{3,4} = (3/2)^{1/4}\mathbf{H}_{3,4}$. *Here extremizers do not exist either.*

We normalize the Fourier transform in $\mathbb{R}^d$ as

$$\hat{g}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix\cdot\xi} g(x)dx,$$

With this, the convolution and $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm satisfy

$$\widehat{f*g} = \hat{f}\,\hat{g}, \text{ and } \|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = (2\pi)^{d/2}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

When p is an even integer we can write (2) in "convolution form". If $p = 2k$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\|Tf\|^k_{L^{2k}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} &= \|(Tf)^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} = \|(\widehat{f\sigma})^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} = \|(f\sigma * \cdots * f\sigma)\widehat{}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})}\\ &= (2\pi)^{(d+1)/2}\|f\sigma * \cdots * f\sigma\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})},\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $f\sigma * \cdots * f\sigma$ is the k^{th}-fold convolution of $f\sigma$ with itself. Therefore, for p even integer, (2) is equivalent to

$$\|f\sigma * \cdots * f\sigma\|^{1/k}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \leqslant (2\pi)^{-(d+1)/(2k)} C_{d,2k}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^d)}, \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

For reference, we write here the best constants in convolution form,

$$\sup_{f\in L^2(\mathbb{H}^2)} \|f\sigma * f\sigma\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/2}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^2)}^{-1} = \pi^{1/4},$$

$$\sup_{f\in L^2(\mathbb{H}^2)} \|f\sigma * f\sigma * f\sigma\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/3}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^2)}^{-1} = (2\pi)^{1/3},$$

$$\sup_{f\in L^2(\mathbb{H}^3)} \|f\sigma * f\sigma\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4)}^{1/2}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^3)}^{-1} = (2\pi)^{1/4}.$$

It would be interesting to analyze the case $d = 1$ for even integers greater or equal to 6. Our argument relies on the explicit computation of the n^{th}-fold convolution of the measure σ with itself and this seems to be computationally involving if $n \geqslant 3$.

Interpolation shows that for $d = 2$ and $p \in [4, 6]$ we have $\mathbf{H}_{2,p} \leqslant \mathbf{H}_{2,4}^{\theta}\mathbf{H}_{2,6}^{1-\theta}$, where $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{\theta}{4} + \frac{1-\theta}{6}$. We do not know whether extremizers exist for $p \in (4, 6)$ as our method needs p to be an even integer.

One could consider, for $s > 0$, the hyperboloid $\mathbb{H}_s^d = \{(y, \sqrt{s^2 + |y|^2}) : y \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ equipped with the measure

$$\sigma_s(y, y') = \delta(y' - \sqrt{s^2 + |y|^2})\frac{dydy'}{\sqrt{s^2 + |y|^2}}. \tag{6}$$

As we mention in Section 2 this measure is natural since it is the only Lorentz invariant measure on $\mathbb{H}_s^d$. Let $T_s f(x, t) = \widehat{f\sigma_s}(x, t)$. For (d, p) satisfying (3) the estimate

$$\|T_s f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \leqslant \mathbf{H}_{d,p,s}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}_s^d)} \tag{7}$$

holds, where

$$\mathbf{H}_{d,p,s} := \sup_{f\in L^2(\mathbb{H}_s^d)} \frac{\|T_s f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})}}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}_s^d)}}. \tag{8}$$

is a finite constant.

Simple scaling, as shown in Appendix 1, relates $\mathbf{H}_{d,p,s}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{d,p}$:

$$\mathbf{H}_{d,p,s} = s^{(d-1)/2-(d+1)/p}\mathbf{H}_{d,p}. \tag{9}$$

Moreover $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a extremizing sequence for (2) if and only if $\{s^{-\frac{1}{2}(d-1)}f_n(s^{-1}\cdot)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an extremizing sequence for the inequality for T_s, $s > 0$. Thus for the problem of extremizers and properties of extremizing sequences it is enough to study $s = 1$.

For any $\rho \in (0, \infty)$ we can consider the truncated hyperboloid

$$\mathbb{H}_{s,\rho}^d = \{(y, \sqrt{s^2 + |y|^2}) : y \in \mathbb{R}^d,\ |y| \leqslant \rho\}$$

endowed with the measure which is the restriction of σ_s to $\mathbb{H}_{s,\rho}^d$. We denote by T_ρ the corresponding adjoint Fourier restriction operator, $T_\rho f = Tf$ for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{H}_{s,\rho}^d)$. Since one has the estimate $\|T_\rho f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant C\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}_{s,\rho}^d)}$, it follows that for $d \geqslant 1$ and $p \geqslant 2(d + 2)/d$ the estimate

$$\|T_\rho f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \leqslant C\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}_{s,\rho}^d)} \tag{10}$$

holds for some constant $C = C(d, p, s, \rho) < \infty$.

A theorem of Fanelli, Vega and Visciglia in [3] implies that if $d \geqslant 1$ and $p > 2(d+2)/d$, then complex valued extremizers for (10) exist. There are nonnegative extremizers if p is an even integer as can be seen from the equivalent "convolution form" of (10). This shows that for $(d,p) = (2,6)$ and $(d,p) = (3,4)$ there are extremizers for (10). The case $(d,p) = (2,4)$ does not follow from the result in [3] since it is the endpoint. Our argument here shows that in this case extremizers do not exist.

2. The Lorentz invariance

The Lorentz group is defined as the group of invertible linear transformations in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ preserving the bilinear form $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \mapsto x \cdot Jy$, where J is the $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ matrix $J_{i,j} = 0$ if $i \neq j$, $J_{i,i} = -1$ if $1 \leqslant i \leqslant d$ and $J_{d+1,d+1} = 1$.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{L}^+$ the subgroup of Lorentz transformations in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ that preserve $\mathbb{H}^d_s$. It is known that σ_s is invariant under the action of $\mathcal{L}^+$ and moreover is the unique measure on $\mathbb{H}^d_s$ invariant under such Lorentz transformations, up to multiplication by scalar; for this we refer to [8] where the case $d = 3$ is considered, but the same argument can be adapted to $d \geqslant 2$.

For $t \in (-1,1)$ we define the linear map $L^t : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ by

$$L^t(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d, \tau) = \Big(\frac{\xi_1 + t\tau}{\sqrt{1-t^2}}, \xi_2 \dots, \xi_d, \frac{\tau + t\xi_1}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} \Big).$$

$\{L^t\}_{t \in (-1,1)}$ is a one parameter subgroup of Lorentz transformations, contained in $\mathcal{L}^+$.

For $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ we let $P_{i,j}$ be the linear transformation that swaps the i^{th} and j^{th} components of a vector in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$.

For any orthogonal matrix $A \in O(d, \mathbb{R})$ the transformation $(\xi, \tau) \mapsto R_A(\xi, \tau) = (A\xi, \tau)$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}^+$.

By composing the transformations $P_{i,j}$ and L^t for suitable i, j's and t's it is not hard to see that if $(\xi, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ satisfies $\tau > |\xi|$, then there exists $L \in \mathcal{L}^+$ such that $L(\xi, \tau) = (0, \sqrt{\tau^2 - \xi^2})$. Alternatively, this can be achieved by using the transformations R_A and L^t: we first find $A \in O(d, \mathbb{R})$ such that $A\xi = (|\xi|, 0, \dots, 0)$. We take $t = -|\xi|\tau^{-1}$ and note that $L^t(R_A(\xi, \tau)) = L^t(|\xi|, 0, \dots, 0, \tau) = (0, \sqrt{\tau^2 - |\xi|^2})$.

For $p \in [1, \infty]$, $L \in \mathcal{L}^+$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{H}^d_s)$ we define

$$L^* f = f \circ L,$$

where "$\circ$" denotes composition. The invariance of the measure σ_s under the action of $\mathcal{L}^+$ implies that for all $p \in [1, \infty)$ we have $\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{H}^d_s)} = \|L^* f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{H}^d_s)}$, and the equality for $p = \infty$ holds since Lorentz transformations are invertible. It is also direct to check that for $p \in [1, \infty]$ we have $\|T_s(L^* f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} = \|T_s f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})}$. Therefore, if $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an extremizing sequence for (7) and $\{L_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{L}^+$, then $\{L_n^* f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is also an extremizing sequence for (7).

The Lorentz transformations we will use in this paper are the $P_{i,j}$, R_A and L^t. The invariance of σ_s with respect to these transformations can be seen directly by using the change of variables formula and seeing that the Jacobians work out.

3. On Foschi's argument

For ease of writing we will define $\psi_s : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\psi_s(x) = \sqrt{s^2 + x^2}.$$

We let $\psi := \psi_1$. We will abuse notation and write $\psi_s(y)$ to mean $\psi_s(|y|)$, for $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

For measures μ, ν in $\mathbb{R}^d$, their convolution is defined by duality as

$$\int g d(\mu * \nu) = \int g(x+y) d\mu(x) d\nu(y),$$

for all $g \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

For a measure μ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $n \geqslant 1$ we will denote $\mu^{(*n)} = \mu * \cdots * \mu$, the n^{th}-fold convolution of μ with itself.

The measure σ_s on $\mathbb{H}^d_s$ satisfies that the n^{th}-fold convolution $\sigma_s^{(*n)}$ is supported in the closure of the region $\mathcal{P}_{d,n} = \{(\xi, \tau) : \tau > \sqrt{(ns)^2 + |\xi|^2}\}$. For any fixed $(\xi, \tau) \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ we define the measure on $(\mathbb{R}^d)^n$ by

$$\mu_{(\xi,\tau)} = \boldsymbol{\delta}\begin{pmatrix} \tau - \psi_s(x_1) - \cdots - \psi_s(x_n) \\ \xi - x_1 - \cdots - x_n \end{pmatrix} dx_1 \ldots dx_n.$$

With the Dirac delta, δ_0, on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$\langle \delta_0, f \rangle = f(0), \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}),$$

$\mu_{(\xi,\tau)}$ is the pullback of δ_0 on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ by the function $\Phi_{(\xi,\tau)} : (\mathbb{R}^d)^n \to \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\Phi_{(\xi,\tau)}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (\xi - x_1 - \cdots - x_n, \tau - \psi_s(x_1) - \cdots - \psi_s(x_n)).$$

As discussed in [4], the pullback is well defined as long as the differential of $\Phi_{(\xi,\tau)}$ is surjective at the points where $\Phi_{(\xi,\tau)}$ vanishes. The differential of $\Phi_{(\xi,\tau)}$ is surjective at a point $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ if and only if $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are not all equal. Now $\Phi_{(\xi,\tau)}(x, \ldots, x) = 0$ if and only if $\tau^2 = (ns)^2 + |\xi|^2$, that is, at the boundary of $\mathcal{P}_{d,n}$. Thus, the pullback is well defined on $\mathcal{P}_{d,n}$.

For each $(\xi, \tau) \in \mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ we define the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{(\xi,\tau)}$ and norm $\| \cdot \|_{(\xi,\tau)}$ associated to $\mu_{(\xi,\tau)}$ as

$$\langle F, G \rangle_{(\xi,\tau)} = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \overline{G(x_1, \ldots, x_n)} \, d\mu_{(\xi,\tau)}(x_1, \ldots, x_n),$$

$$\|F\|^2_{(\xi,\tau)} = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} |F(x_1, \ldots, x_n)|^2 \, d\mu_{(\xi,\tau)}(x_1, \ldots, x_n),$$

What connects this inner product with inequality (2) is the following identity. For $f_1, \dots, f_n \in L^2(\mathbb{H}_s^d)$

$$\begin{aligned} f_1\sigma_s * \cdots * f_n\sigma_s &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} \frac{f_1(x_1)\dots f_n(x_n)}{\psi_s(x_1)\dots\psi_s(x_n)}\delta(\xi - x_1 - \cdots - x_n) \\ &\qquad\qquad \cdot \delta(\tau - \psi_s(x_1) - \cdots - \psi_s(x_n))\, dx_1 \dots dx_n \\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} \frac{f_1(x_1)\dots f_n(x_n)}{\psi_s(x_1)\dots\psi_s(x_n)} d\mu_{(\xi,\tau)}(x_1 \dots x_n) \\ &= \langle F, G\rangle_{(\xi,\tau)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{f_1(x_1)\dots f_n(x_n)}{\psi_s(x_1)^{1/2}\dots\psi_s(x_n)^{1/2}}$ and $G(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{\psi_s(x_1)^{1/2}\dots\psi_s(x_n)^{1/2}}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then the n^{th}-fold convolution of $f\sigma_s$ with itself satisfies*

$$\|(f\sigma_s)^{(*n)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant \|\sigma_s^{(*n)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}_s^d)}^n. \tag{11}$$

Moreover, for $f \neq 0$, for equality to hold in (11) it is necessary that $\sigma_s^{(*n)}(\xi,\tau) = \|\sigma_s^{(*n)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ for a.e. (ξ,τ) in the support of $(f\sigma_s)^{(*n)}$.

Proof. Let $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, then by definition of the convolution we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle g, f\sigma_s^{(*n)}\rangle = \int g(x_1 + \cdots + x_n, \psi_s(x_1) + \cdots + \psi_s(x_n)) \frac{f(x_1)\dots f(x_n)}{\psi_s(x_1)\dots\psi_s(x_n)} dx_1 \dots dx_n \\ &= \int \frac{g(x_1 + \cdots + x_n, \psi_s(x_1) + \cdots + \psi_s(x_n))}{\psi_s(x_1)^{1/2}\dots\psi_s(x_n)^{1/2}} \frac{f(x_1)\dots f(x_n)}{\psi_s(x_1)^{1/2}\dots\psi_s(x_n)^{1/2}} dx_1 \dots dx_n \\ &\leqslant \Big| \int \frac{g^2(x_1 + \cdots + x_n, \psi_s(x_1) + \cdots + \psi_s(x_n))}{\psi_s(x_1)\dots\psi_s(x_n)} dx_1 \dots dx_n \Big|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big| \int \frac{f(x_1)^2 \dots f(x_n)^2}{\psi_s(x_1)\dots\psi_s(x_n)} dx_1 \dots dx_n \Big|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \langle g^2, \sigma_s^{(*n)}\rangle^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}_s^d)}^n \\ &\leqslant \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\sigma_s^{(*n)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}_s^d)}^n, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

which proves (11) by taking the supremum over $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$.

Now if

$$\|f\sigma_s^{(*n)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|\sigma_s^{(*n)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}_s^d)}^n,$$

then, taking $g = f\sigma_s^{(*n)}$, we must have equality in (12)

$$\langle (f\sigma_s^{(*n)})^2, \sigma_s^{(*n)}\rangle = \|f\sigma_s^{(*n)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}_s^d)}^2 \|\sigma_s^{(*n)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

which occurs if and only if

$$\sigma_s^{(*n)}(\xi,\tau) = \|\sigma_s^{(*n)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

for a.e. (ξ,τ) in the support of $f\sigma_s^{(*n)}$. □

From Lemma 3.1 and (5) we obtain

Corollary 3.2. *Let* (d,p) *satisfy* (3) *and suppose* $p=2k$ *is an even integer. Then*

$$\|T_s f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \leqslant (2\pi)^{(d+1)/p} \|\sigma_s^{(*k)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})}^{1/p} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}_s^d)}, \tag{13}$$

and thus

$$\mathbf{H}_{d,p,s} \leqslant (2\pi)^{(d+1)/p} \|\sigma_s^{(*k)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})}^{1/p}. \tag{14}$$

In the three cases of pairs (d,p) that interest us in this paper, (14) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{H}_{2,4,s} \leqslant (2\pi)^{3/4} \|\sigma_s * \sigma_s\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/4}, \\ &\mathbf{H}_{2,6,s} \leqslant (2\pi)^{1/2} \|\sigma_s * \sigma_s * \sigma_s\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/6}, \text{ and} \\ &\mathbf{H}_{3,4,s} \leqslant 2\pi \|\sigma_s * \sigma_s\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)}^{1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

For the nonexistence of extremizers we will be using the following result,

Corollary 3.3. *Let* (d,p) *satisfy* (3) *and suppose* $p=2k$ *is an even integer. Suppose that* $\mathbf{H}_{d,p} = (2\pi)^{(d+1)/p}\|\sigma^{(*k)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})}^{1/p}$ *and that* $\sigma^{(*k)}(\tau,\xi) < \|\sigma^{(*k)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})}$ *for a.e.* (ξ,τ) *in the support of* $\sigma^{(*k)}$. *Then extremizers for* (2) *do not exist for the pair* (d,p).

Proof. This is direct from the last assertion in Lemma 3.1. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let* $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, *then the* n^{th}*-fold convolution of* $f\sigma_s$ *with itself satisfies*

$$\|f\sigma_s^{(*n)}\|_2^2 \leqslant \int\limits_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} \frac{f^2(x_1)\dots f^2(x_n)}{\psi_s(x_1)\dots\psi_s(x_n)} \sigma_s^{(*n)}(x_1+\dots+x_n, \psi_s(x_1)+\dots+\psi_s(x_n))\, dx_1\dots dx_n. \tag{15}$$

Proof. We will prove the case $n=2$ as the general case is analogous requiring only more notation. Following Foschi's argument we write

$$\begin{aligned} f\sigma_s * f\sigma_s(\xi,\tau) &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \frac{f(x)f(y)}{\psi_s(x)\psi_s(y)} \delta(\xi-x-y)\delta(\tau-\psi_s(x)-\psi_s(y))\, dx\, dy \\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \frac{f(x)f(y)}{\psi_s(x)\psi_s(y)} d\mu_{(\tau,\xi)}(x,y). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

From Cauchy-Schwarz, for $(\xi,\tau) \in \mathcal{P}_{d,2}$,

$$|f\sigma_s * f\sigma_s(\tau,\xi)| \leqslant \Big\| \frac{f(x)f(y)}{\psi_s(x)^{\frac12}\psi_s(y)^{\frac12}} \Big\|_{(\tau,\xi)} \Big\| \frac{1}{\psi_s(x)^{\frac12}\psi_s(y)^{\frac12}} \Big\|_{(\tau,\xi)}. \tag{17}$$

Now

$$\Big\| \frac{1}{\psi_s(x)^{\frac12}\psi_s(y)^{\frac12}} \Big\|_{(\tau,\xi)}^2 = \sigma_s * \sigma_s(\xi,\tau) \tag{18}$$

as can be seen from (16) by taking $f \equiv 1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\sigma_s * f\sigma_s\|_2^2 &\leqslant \int_{\mathcal{P}_{d,2}} \Big\| \frac{f(x)f(y)}{\psi_s(x)^{\frac12}\psi_s(y)^{\frac12}} \Big\|^2_{(\tau,\xi)} \sigma_s * \sigma_s(\xi,\tau) d\tau\, d\xi \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{P}_{d,2}} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \frac{f^2(x)f^2(y)}{\psi_s(x)\psi_s(y)} \boldsymbol{\delta}\begin{pmatrix} \tau - \psi_s(x) - \psi_s(y) \\ \xi - x - y \end{pmatrix} \sigma_s * \sigma_s(\xi,\tau)\, dx\, dy\, d\tau\, d\xi \\
&= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \frac{f^2(x)f^2(y)}{\psi_s(x)\psi_s(y)} \int_{\mathcal{P}_{d,2}} \boldsymbol{\delta}\begin{pmatrix} \tau - \psi_s(x) - \psi_s(y) \\ \xi - x - y \end{pmatrix} \sigma_s * \sigma_s(\xi,\tau)\, d\tau\, d\xi\, dx\, dy \\
&= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \frac{f^2(x)f^2(y)}{\psi_s(x)\psi_s(y)} \sigma_s * \sigma_s(x+y, \psi_s(x)+\psi_s(y))\, dx\, dy. \qquad \square
\end{aligned}$$

4. NONEXISTENCE OF EXTREMIZERS

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. We start with the computation of the double and triple convolution of σ_s with itself.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $d = 2$, $s > 0$ and σ_s the measure on $\mathbb{H}^2_s$ given in (6). Then, for $(\xi,\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$*

$$\sigma_s * \sigma_s(\xi,\tau) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - |\xi|^2}} \chi_{\{\tau \geqslant \sqrt{(2s)^2 + |\xi|^2}\}}, \tag{19}$$

$$\sigma_s * \sigma_s * \sigma_s(\xi,\tau) = (2\pi)^2 \Big(1 - \frac{3s}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - |\xi|^2}}\Big) \chi_{\{\tau \geqslant \sqrt{(3s)^2 + |\xi|^2}\}}. \tag{20}$$

In particular, $\|\sigma_s * \sigma_s\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \frac{\pi}{s}$ and for all (ξ,τ) in the interior of the support of $\sigma_s * \sigma_s$ we have $\sigma_s * \sigma_s(\xi,\tau) < \|\sigma_s * \sigma_s\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}$.

Also, $\|\sigma_s * \sigma_s * \sigma_s\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} = (2\pi)^2$ and for all $(\xi,\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, $\sigma_s * \sigma_s * \sigma_s(\xi,\tau) < \|\sigma_s * \sigma_s * \sigma_s\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}$.

Proof. It is easy to compute the convolution,

$$\sigma_s * \sigma_s(0,\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \delta(\tau - 2\sqrt{s^2 + |y|^2}) \frac{dy}{s^2 + |y|^2} = 2\pi \int_0^\infty \delta(\tau - 2\sqrt{s^2 + r^2}) \frac{r dr}{s^2 + r^2}.$$

Let $u = 2\sqrt{s^2 + r^2}$, then

$$\sigma_s * \sigma_s(0,\tau) = 2\pi \int_{2s}^\infty \delta(\tau - u) \frac{du}{u} = 2\pi \chi(\tau > 2s) \frac{1}{\tau}.$$

By Lorentz invariance we obtain

$$\sigma_s * \sigma_s(\xi,\tau) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - |\xi|^2}} \chi_{\{\tau \geqslant \sqrt{(2s)^2 + |\xi|^2}\}}.$$

For the triple convolution we use the expression we just obtained for the double convolution,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_s*\sigma_s*\sigma_s(0,\tau) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\sigma*\sigma(\tau-\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2},-y)\frac{dy}{\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}}\\ &= (2\pi)^2\int_0^\infty \frac{\chi(\tau-\sqrt{s^2+r^2}\geqslant\sqrt{(2s)^2+r^2})}{((\tau-\sqrt{s^2+r^2})^2-r^2)^{1/2}}\frac{rdr}{\sqrt{s^2+r^2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $u=\sqrt{s^2+r^2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_s*\sigma_s*\sigma_s(0,\tau) &= (2\pi)^2\chi_{\{\tau\geqslant 3s\}}\int_s^{\frac{\tau^2-3s^2}{2\tau}}\frac{du}{\sqrt{(\tau-u)^2-(u^2-s^2)}}\\ &= (2\pi)^2\chi_{\{\tau\geqslant 3s\}}\int_s^{\frac{\tau^2-3s^2}{2\tau}}\frac{du}{\sqrt{\tau^2-2\tau u+s^2}}\\ &= (2\pi)^2\Big(1-\frac{3s}{\tau}\Big)\chi_{\{\tau\geqslant 3s\}}.\end{aligned}$$

By Lorentz invariance,

$$\sigma_s*\sigma_s*\sigma_s(\xi,\tau) = (2\pi)^2\Big(1-\frac{3s}{\sqrt{\tau^2-|\xi|^2}}\Big)\chi_{\{\tau\geqslant\sqrt{(3s)^2+|\xi|^2}\}}. \qquad\square$$

A different proof of Lemma 4.1 is given in Appendix 2.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $d=3$ and $s>0$. Then for $(\xi,\tau)\in\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}$*

$$\sigma_s*\sigma_s(\xi,\tau)=2\pi\Big(1-\frac{4s^2}{\tau^2-|\xi|^2}\Big)^{1/2}\chi_{\{\tau\geqslant\sqrt{(2s)^2+|\xi|^2}\}}. \tag{21}$$

In particular, $\|\sigma_s*\sigma_s\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)}=2\pi$ and for all $(\xi,\tau)\in\mathbb{R}^4$, $\sigma_s*\sigma_s(\xi,\tau)<\|\sigma_s*\sigma_s\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)}$.

Proof.

$$\sigma_s*\sigma_s(0,\tau)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\delta(\tau-2\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2})\frac{dy}{s^2+|y|^2}=4\pi\int_0^\infty\delta(\tau-2\sqrt{s^2+r^2})\frac{r^2dr}{s^2+r^2}.$$

Let $u=2\sqrt{s^2+r^2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_s*\sigma_s(0,\tau) &= 2\pi\int_{2s}^\infty\delta(\tau-u)\frac{\sqrt{u^2-4s^2}}{u}du = 2\pi\frac{\sqrt{\tau^2-4s^2}}{\tau}\chi_{\{\tau\geqslant 2s\}}\\ &= 2\pi\Big(1-\frac{4s^2}{\tau^2}\Big)^{1/2}\chi_{\{\tau\geqslant 2s\}}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the Lorentz invariance,

$$\sigma_s*\sigma_s(\xi,\tau)=2\pi\Big(1-\frac{4s^2}{\tau^2-|\xi|^2}\Big)^{1/2}\chi_{\{\tau\geqslant\sqrt{(2s)^2+|\xi|^2}\}}. \qquad\square$$

A different proof of Lemma 4.1 is given in Appendix 3. From Corollary 3.2, Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 we obtain

Corollary 4.3. *We have the following upper bounds for the best constants,*

$$\mathbf{H}_{2,4} \leqslant 2^{3/4}\pi, \quad \mathbf{H}_{2,6} \leqslant (2\pi)^{5/6}, \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \mathbf{H}_{3,4} \leqslant (2\pi)^{5/4}.$$

For the lower bound for the best constants we will exhibit explicit extremizing sequences.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $d = 2$ and $s > 0$. For $a > 0$ we let $f_a(y) = e^{-a\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then*

$$\lim_{a\to\infty} \|T_s f_a\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|f_a\|^{-1}_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^2_s)} = \frac{2^{3/4}\pi}{s^{1/4}}, \tag{22}$$

$$\lim_{a\to 0+} \|T_s f_a\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|f_a\|^{-1}_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^2_s)} = (2\pi)^{5/6}. \tag{23}$$

A proof of this can be found in Appendix 2. For the case $d = 3$ we have an analogous result.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $d = 3$ and $s > 0$. For $a > 0$ let $f_a(y) = e^{-a\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Then*

$$\lim_{a\to 0^+} \|T_s f_a\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^4)} \|f_a\|^{-1}_{L^2(\sigma_s)} = (2\pi)^{5/4}.$$

For a proof of Lemma 4.5 see Appendix 3.

Note that Corollary 4.3, Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5 imply that for $(d, p) = (2, 4)$ the sequence $\{f_a/\|f_a\|_{L^2(\sigma_s)}\}_{a>0}$ is an extremizing sequence as $a \to \infty$, for $(d, p) = (2, 3)$ $\{f_a/\|f_a\|_{L^2(\sigma_s)}\}_{a>0}$ is an extremizing sequence as $a \to 0^+$ and for $(d, p) = (3, 6)$, $\{f_a/\|f_a\|_{L^2(\sigma_s)}\}_{a>0}$ is an extremizing sequence as $a \to 0^+$.

Proof of the first part of Theorem 1.2. Combining Corollary 4.3, Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5 we obtain the first part of Theorem 1.2, namely the value of the best constants,

$$\mathbf{H}_{2,4} = 2^{3/4}\pi, \quad \mathbf{H}_{2,6} = (2\pi)^{5/6}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbf{H}_{3,6} = (2\pi)^{5/4}.$$

That extremizers do not exist is a consequence of Corollary 3.3 and the last assertions about the infinity norm of the double and triple convolution of σ with itself, contained in Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2. □

We now prove the assertion given in the introduction about extremizers for the truncated operator T_ρ for $d = 2$ and $p = 4$.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $(d, p) = (2, 4)$ and $s > 0$. For any $\rho > 0$, the best constant in* (10) *equals $2^{3/4}\frac{\pi}{s^{1/4}}$ and there are no extremizers for* (10).

Proof. The nonexistence of extremizers follows from the nonexistence for (2) if we prove that the best constant for the truncated hyperboloid equals the best constant for the entire hyperboloid, $\mathbf{H}_{2,4,s}$. For this we need a lower bound.

Since the extremizing sequence $\{f_a/\|f_a\|_2\}_{a>0}$ given in Lemma 4.4 concentrates at $y = 0$ as $a \to \infty$, one easily sees that for the sequence $\{f_a\chi_{|y|\leqslant\rho}/\|f_a\chi_{|y|\leqslant\rho}\|_2\}_{a>0}$,

$$T_\rho(f_a\chi_{|y|\leqslant\rho}/\|f_a\chi_{|y|\leqslant\rho}\|_2) \to 2^{3/4}\pi/s^{1/4}\ , \text{ as } \ a \to \infty,$$

giving the desired lower bound. □

5. On extremizing sequences

We are interested here in properties of extremizing sequences for (2). The Lorentz invariance of σ_s implies that given an extremizing sequence $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ for (2), and a sequence of Lorentz transformations $\{L_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ preserving $\mathbb{H}^d_s$, then $\{f_n\circ L_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is also an extremizing sequence. Even though there is this symmetry group we can obtain some general properties concerning concentration of extremizing sequences.

Consider first the case $d=2$ and $p=6$. From Lemma 4.4 it follows that the sequence of functions $\{f_a/\|f_a\|_2\}_{a>0}$ is an extremizing sequence as $a\to 0^+$. This particular extremizing sequence concentrates at spatial infinity, that is, for any $\varepsilon, R>0$ there exists $a_0>0$ such that for all $0<a<a_0$, $\|f_a/\|f_a\|_2\|_{L^2(B(0,R))}<\varepsilon$, where $B(0,R)=\{y\in\mathbb{R}^2 : |y|<R\}$. Next we show that this is the case for any extremizing sequence.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an extremizing sequence for (2) in the case $(d,p)=(2,6)$, then for any $\varepsilon, R>0$ there exists $N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n\geqslant N$*

$$\|f_n\|_{L^2(B(0,R))}<\varepsilon,$$

that is, the sequence concentrates at spatial infinity.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon, R>0$ be given. From the proof of Lemma 3.4 and from Lemma 4.1, for the inequality in convolution form, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|f_n\sigma_s * f_n\sigma_s * f_n\sigma_s\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leqslant \int_{\mathcal{P}_{2,3}} \Big\| \frac{f_n(x)f_n(y)f_n(z)}{\psi_s(x)^{\frac12}\psi_s(y)^{\frac12}\psi_s(z)^{\frac12}}\Big\|^2_{(\tau,\xi)} \sigma_s*\sigma_s*\sigma_s(\tau,\xi)\,d\tau d\xi\\
&= (2\pi)^2 \int_{\mathcal{P}_{2,3}} \Big\| \frac{f_n(x)f_n(y)f_n(z)}{\psi_s(x)^{\frac12}\psi_s(y)^{\frac12}\psi_s(z)^{\frac12}}\Big\|^2_{(\tau,\xi)} \Big(1-\frac{3s}{\sqrt{\tau^2-|\xi|^2}}\Big) d\tau d\xi\\
&= (2\pi)^2\|f_n\|^6_{L^2(\sigma_s)} - (2\pi)^2 \int_{\mathcal{P}_{2,3}} \Big\| \frac{f_n(x)f_n(y)f_n(z)}{\psi_s(x)^{\frac12}\psi_s(y)^{\frac12}\psi_s(z)^{\frac12}}\Big\|^2_{(\tau,\xi)} \frac{3s\ ,d\tau d\xi}{\sqrt{\tau^2-|\xi|^2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|f_n\sigma_s * f_n\sigma_s * f_n\sigma_s\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\to(2\pi)^2$ as $n\to\infty$ we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{P}_{2,3}} \Big\| \frac{f_n(x)f_n(y)f_n(z)}{\psi_s(x)^{\frac12}\psi_s(y)^{\frac12}\psi_s(z)^{\frac12}}\Big\|^2_{(\tau,\xi)} \frac{d\tau d\xi}{\sqrt{\tau^2-|\xi|^2}} \to 0 \ \text{ as } n\to\infty, \tag{24}$$

and thus there exists $N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n\geqslant N$

$$\int_{\mathcal{P}_{2,3}} \Big\| \frac{f_n(x)f_n(y)f_n(z)}{\psi_s(x)^{\frac12}\psi_s(y)^{\frac12}\psi_s(z)^{\frac12}}\Big\|^2_{(\tau,\xi)} \frac{d\tau d\xi}{\sqrt{\tau^2-|\xi|^2}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3\sqrt{s^2+R^2}}.$$

From Lemma 3.4 the expression in the left hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathcal{P}_{2,3}} \Big\| \frac{f_n(x)f_n(y)f_n(z)}{\psi_s(x)^{\frac12}\psi_s(y)^{\frac12}\psi_s(z)^{\frac12}} \Big\|^2_{(\tau,\xi)} \frac{d\tau d\xi}{\sqrt{\tau^2-|\xi|^2}} \\
&= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^2)^3} \frac{f_n^2(x)f_n^2(y)f_n^2(z)}{\psi_s(x)\psi_s(y)\psi_s(z)} \int_{\mathcal{P}_{2,3}} \boldsymbol{\delta}\begin{pmatrix} \tau-\psi_s(x)-\psi_s(y)-\psi_s(z) \\ \xi-x-y-z \end{pmatrix} \frac{d\tau d\xi}{\sqrt{\tau^2-|\xi|^2}}\, dx\, dy\, dz \\
&\geqslant \int_{(\mathbb{R}^2)^3} \frac{f_n^2(x)f_n^2(y)f_n^2(z)}{\psi_s(x)\psi_s(y)\psi_s(z)} \int_{\mathcal{P}_{2,3}} \boldsymbol{\delta}\begin{pmatrix} \tau-\psi_s(x)-\psi_s(y)-\psi_s(z) \\ \xi-x-y-z \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\tau} d\tau d\xi\, dx\, dy\, dz \\
&\geqslant \int_{(B(0,R))^3} \frac{f_n^2(x)f_n^2(y)f_n^2(z)}{\psi_s(x)\psi_s(y)\psi_s(z)} \frac{dx\, dy\, dz}{\psi_s(x)+\psi_s(y)+\psi_s(z)}
\end{aligned}$$

If $x, y, z \in B(0,R)$, then $3s < \psi_s(x)+\psi_s(y)+\psi_s(z) \leqslant 3\psi_s(R) = 3\sqrt{s^2+R^2}$. Therefore, for all $n \geqslant N$

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{3\sqrt{s^2+R^2}} > \int_{\mathcal{P}_{2,3}} \Big\| \frac{f_n(x)f_n(y)f_n(z)}{\psi_s(x)^{\frac12}\psi_s(y)^{\frac12}\psi_s(z)^{\frac12}} \Big\|^2_{(\tau,\xi)} \frac{d\tau d\xi}{\sqrt{\tau^2-|\xi|^2}} \geqslant \frac{1}{3\sqrt{s^2+R^2}} \|f_n\|^6_{L^2(B(0,R))},$$

and so, $\sup\limits_{n\geqslant N} \|f_n\|_{L^2(B(0,R))} < \varepsilon$ as desired. ☐

We now turn to the case $d = 3$ and $p = 4$. Here we can also prove that extremizing sequences must concentrate at spatial infinity, the analog of Proposition 5.1.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an extremizing sequence for (2) in the case $(d,p) = (3,4)$, then for any $\varepsilon, R > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geqslant N$*

$$\|f_n\|_{L^2(B(0,R))} < \varepsilon,$$

that is, the sequence concentrates at spatial infinity.

Proof. The proof follows the same lines as the one for Proposition 5.1. Using the convolution form of the inequality we obtain the analog of equation (24),

$$\int_{\mathcal{P}_{3,2}} \Big\| \frac{f_n(x)f_n(y)f_n(z)}{\psi_s(x)^{\frac12}\psi_s(y)^{\frac12}\psi_s(z)^{\frac12}} \Big\|^2_{(\tau,\xi)} \Big(1-\Big(1-\frac{4s^2}{\tau^2-|\xi|^2}\Big)^{1/2}\Big) d\tau d\xi \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

If we use the bound $1-\big(1-\frac{4s^2}{\tau^2-|\xi|^2}\big)^{1/2} \geqslant 1-\big(1-\frac{4s^2}{\tau^2}\big)^{1/2}$ and $0 < \psi_s(x)+\psi_s(y) \leqslant 2\psi_s(R)$ whenever $|x|, |y| \leqslant R$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{P}_{3,2}} \Big\| \frac{f_n(x)f_n(y)f_n(z)}{\psi_s(x)^{\frac12}\psi_s(y)^{\frac12}\psi_s(z)^{\frac12}} \Big\|^2_{(\tau,\xi)} &\Big(1-\Big(1-\frac{4s^2}{\tau^2-|\xi|^2}\Big)^{1/2}\Big) d\tau d\xi \\
&\geqslant \Big(1-\Big(\frac{R^2}{R^2+s^2}\Big)^{1/2}\Big) \|f_n\|^2_{L^2(B(0,R))}.
\end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows as in the proof of Proposition 5.1. ☐

We now analyze the last case $(d,p) = (2,4)$. Since $\sigma_s * \sigma_s(\xi,\tau) = \|\sigma_s * \sigma_s\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ whenever $\tau = \sqrt{s^2+|\xi|^2}$, that it, at the boundary of the support, it is not hard to see that there are extremizing sequences that concentrate at any given point in $\mathbb{H}^2_s$. For the example of extremizing sequence given in Lemma 4.4, the concentration occurs at the vertex of the hyperboloid, $(\xi,\tau)=(0,s)=:P$. We want to show that any extremizing sequences must concentrate.

Since one can have extremizing sequences concentrating at any point in the boundary it is possible to construct an extremizing sequence that concentrates on a dense set of $\mathbb{H}^2_s$ in the sense that given a sequence $\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{H}^2_s$ there exists $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset L^2(\mathbb{H}^2_s)$, extremizing sequence, with the property that for any $\varepsilon>0$ and $r>0$ there exists $N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n\geqslant N$

$$\int_{|y-y_n|>r}|f_n(y)|^2\,d\sigma_s(y)\leqslant\varepsilon. \tag{25}$$

Equivalently, by taking a Lorentz transformation $L_n\in\mathcal{L}^+$ with $L_n^{-1}(y_n)=(0,s)=P$ and using the Lorentz invariance of the measure, (25) can be written as

$$\int_{L_n^{-1}(\{y:|y|>r\})+P}|L_n^*f_n(y)|^2\,d\sigma_s(y)\leqslant\varepsilon,$$

where $L_n^*f_n(y)=f_n(L_ny)$. We show that this is the only possibility for an extremizing sequence.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an extremizing sequence for (2). Then there exists a sequence $\{L_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathcal{L}^+$ satisfying that for any $\varepsilon,r>0$ there exist $N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n\geqslant N$*

$$\int_{|y-P|>r}|L_n^*f_n(y)|^2\,d\sigma_s(y)\leqslant\varepsilon, \tag{26}$$

where $P=(0,s)$ is the vertex of the hyperboloid $\mathbb{H}^2_s$.

For the proof of the proposition we will need to introduce the function $d_s:\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}$ given by the formula

$$d_s(x,y)=\frac{1}{2s}((\psi_s(x)+\psi_s(y))^2-|x+y|^2)^{1/2}-1.$$

Elementary properties of d_s are contained in the next lemma whose proof is left to the reader

Lemma 5.4.

(i) *For all $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^2$, $d_s(x,y)=d_s(y,x)\geqslant 0$ and $d_s(x,y)=0$ if and only if $x=y$.*
(ii) *For all $x\in\mathbb{R}^2$, $\lim_{|y|\to\infty}d_s(x,y)=\infty$.*
(iii) *For every $R>0$ there exists $0<C_1(R)$, $C_2(R)<\infty$ such that*

$$C_1(R)|x-y|^2\leqslant d_s(x,y)\leqslant C_2(R)|x-y|.$$

for all x,y with $|x|,|y|\leqslant R$.

Property (ii) implies that for given $y\in\mathbb{R}^2$, the d_s-ball of radius $R>0$ and center y, $B_{d_s}(y,R):=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^2:d_s(x,y)\leqslant R\}$, is a bounded set. Property (iii) relates the d_s-ball with the Euclidean ball, for y with $|y|\leqslant R$ and $r>0$

$$B(y,cr)\subset B_{d_s}(y,r)\subset B(y,c'\sqrt{r}), \tag{27}$$

for some constants c,c' depending on R and r only.

Proof of Proposition 5.3. The first task is to find a sequence $\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{H}^2_s$ such that an analog of (25) is satisfied. It will be convenient, for notation only, to identify functions from $\mathbb{H}^2_s$ to R with functions $\mathbb{R}^2$ to R and points in $\mathbb{H}^2_s$ with points in $\mathbb{R}^2$. This is done via the projection of $\mathbb{H}^2_s$ onto $\mathbb{R}^2\times\{0\}$.

From Lemma 3.4 and from Lemma 4.1, for the inequality in convolution form, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_n\sigma_s * f_n\sigma_s\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\leqslant \int_{\mathcal{P}_{2,2}}\Big\|\frac{f_n(x)f_n(y)}{\psi_s(x)^{\frac12}\psi_s(y)^{\frac12}}\Big\|^2_{(\tau,\xi)}\sigma_s*\sigma_s(\tau,\xi)d\tau d\xi\\ &=\frac{\pi}{s}\int_{\mathcal{P}_{2,2}}\Big\|\frac{f_n(x)f_n(y)}{\psi_s(x)^{\frac12}\psi_s(y)^{\frac12}}\Big\|^2_{(\tau,\xi)}\frac{2s}{\sqrt{\tau^2-|\xi|^2}}d\tau d\xi\\ &\leqslant\frac{\pi}{s}\|f_n\|^4_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|f_n\sigma_s * f_n\sigma_s\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\to\frac{\pi}{s}$ as $n\to\infty$ we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{P}_{2,2}}\Big\|\frac{f_n(x)f_n(y)}{\psi_s(x)^{\frac12}\psi_s(y)^{\frac12}}\Big\|^2_{(\tau,\xi)}\frac{2s}{\sqrt{\tau^2-|\xi|^2}}\,d\tau d\xi\to 1\ \text{ as } n\to\infty, \tag{28}$$

Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3.4 the expression in the left hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathcal{P}_{2,2}}\Big\|\frac{f_n(x)f_n(y)}{\psi_s(x)^{\frac12}\psi_s(y)^{\frac12}}\Big\|^2_{(\tau,\xi)}\frac{2s}{\sqrt{\tau^2-|\xi|^2}}\,d\tau d\xi\\ &=\int_{(\mathbb{R}^2)^2}\frac{f_n^2(x)f_n^2(y)}{\psi_s(x)\psi_s(y)}\int_{\mathcal{P}_2}\boldsymbol{\delta}\begin{pmatrix}\tau-\psi_s(x)-\psi_s(y)\\ \xi-x-y\end{pmatrix}\frac{2s}{\sqrt{\tau^2-|\xi|^2}}d\tau d\xi\,dx\,dy\\ &=\int_{(\mathbb{R}^2)^2}\frac{f_n^2(x)f_n^2(y)}{\psi_s(x)\psi_s(y)}\frac{2s}{((\psi_s(x)+\psi_s(y))^2-|x+y|^2)^{1/2}}dx\,dy\\ &=\int_{(\mathbb{R}^2)^2}\frac{f_n^2(x)f_n^2(y)}{\psi_s(x)\psi_s(y)}K_s(x,y)dx\,dy.\end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}^2)^2}\frac{f_n^2(x)f_n^2(y)}{\psi_s(x)\psi_s(y)}dx\,dy=\|f_n\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^2_s)}=1$$

and

$$K_s(x,y):=\frac{2s}{((\psi_s(x)+\psi_s(y))^2-|x+y|^2)^{1/2}}=\frac{1}{d_s(x,y)+1}\leqslant 1$$

for all $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^2$. Equation (28) implies that

$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}^2)^2}\frac{f_n^2(x)f_n^2(y)}{\psi_s(x)\psi_s(y)}K_s(x,y)dx\,dy\to 1\ \text{ as } n\to\infty.$$

Denote $h_n(y) = f_n(y)^2/\psi_s(y)$, so that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h_n(y)dy = 1$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{(\mathbb{R}^2)^2} h_n(x)h_n(y)K_s(x,y)dx\,dy &= \int_{d_s(x,y)\leqslant\varepsilon} h_n(x)h_n(y)K_s(x,y)dx\,dy \\ &\quad + \int_{d_s(x,y)>\varepsilon} h_n(x)h_n(y)K_s(x,y)dx\,dy \\ &\leqslant \|h_n\|^2_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} - \Big(1-\frac{1}{\varepsilon+1}\Big)\int_{d_s(x,y)>\varepsilon} h_n(x)h_n(y)dx\,dy.\end{aligned}$$

Then, as the left hand side tends to 1 as $n\to\infty$ we conclude that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{d_s(x,y)\leqslant\varepsilon} h_n(x)h_n(y)dx\,dy = 1.$$

Using the Fubini Theorem we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{d_s(x,y)\leqslant\varepsilon} h_n(x)h_n(y)dx\,dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h_n(y)\int_{d_s(x,y)\leqslant\varepsilon} h_n(x)dxdy \\ &\leqslant \|h\|_1 \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^2}\int_{d_s(x,y)\leqslant\varepsilon} h_n(x)dx.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^2}\int_{B_{d_s}(y,\varepsilon)} h_n(x)dx = 1. \tag{29}$$

Fix a continuous function $\gamma:(0,\infty)\to(0,1)$ satisfying $\gamma(t)\to 0$ as $t\to 0^+$. Then (29) implies that there exists $N(\varepsilon)\in\mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n\geqslant N(\varepsilon)$

$$\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^2}\int_{B_{d_s}(y,\varepsilon)} h_n(y)dy \geqslant 1-\gamma(\varepsilon),$$

and so there exists $\{y_n^\varepsilon\}_{n\geqslant N(\varepsilon)}\subset\mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\int_{B_{d_s}(y_n^\varepsilon,\varepsilon)} h_n(y)dy \geqslant 1-2\gamma(\varepsilon).$$

In this way, each $\varepsilon>0$ we have a number $N(\varepsilon)$, and a sequence $\{y_n^\varepsilon\}_{n\geqslant N(\varepsilon)}$.

The construction of the sequence $\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ will be obtained by a diagonal process. We take a strictly decreasing sequence $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $\varepsilon_k\to 0$ as $k\to\infty$. This gives a sequence $\{N(k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{y_n^k\}_{n\geqslant N(k),k\geqslant 0}$. We can assume that the sequence $\{N(k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is strictly increasing. For each $n\geqslant N(1)$ we let $l(n)=\inf\{k : N(k)\leqslant n\}$

Define $\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ by

$$y_n = \begin{cases} y_n^{l(n)}, & \text{if } n\geqslant N(1)\\ y_0 \quad, & \text{if } n<N(1)\end{cases}$$

where $y_0\in\mathbb{R}^2$ is arbitrary, but fixed.

Now let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Take k such that $\varepsilon_k<\varepsilon$. For $n\geqslant N(k)$ we have $l(n)\geqslant k$, so $\varepsilon_{l(n)}\leqslant\varepsilon_k<\varepsilon$ and

$$\int_{B_{d_s}(y_n^{l(n)},\varepsilon_{l(n)})} h_n(y)dy \geqslant 1-2\gamma(\varepsilon_{l(n)}),$$

and hence

$$\int_{B_{d_s}(y_n,\varepsilon)} h_n(y)dy \geqslant \int_{B_{d_s}(y_n^{l(n)},\varepsilon_{l(n)})} h_n(y)dy \geqslant 1-2\gamma(\varepsilon_{l(n)}) \geqslant 1-2\gamma(\varepsilon).$$

Since $\gamma(\varepsilon) \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ we have just proved that for any $\varepsilon, r > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geqslant N$

$$\int_{B_{d_s}(y_n,\varepsilon)} f_n(y)\frac{dy}{\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}} \geqslant 1-\varepsilon. \tag{30}$$

To finish we need to use the Lorentz invariance. This is better done without the identification of $\mathbb{H}_s^2$ with $\mathbb{R}^2$ that we have been using, so we now lift everything to $\mathbb{H}_s^2$. Let $D_s : \{(\xi,\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} : \tau > |\xi|\} \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$D_s((\xi_1,\tau_1),(\xi_2,\tau_2)) = (2s)^{-1}((\tau_1+\tau_2)^2 - |\xi_1+\xi_2|^2)^{1/2} - 1,$$

and observe that for every $L \in \mathcal{L}^+$, $D_s(L(\xi_1,\tau_1), L(\xi_2,\tau_2)) = D_s((\xi_1,\tau_1),(\xi_2,\tau_2))$.

Let $z_n = (y_n, \psi_s(y_n)) \in \mathbb{H}_s^2$. We can write (30) equivalently as

$$\int_{D_s(z,z_n)>r} |f(z)|^2 d\sigma(z) < \varepsilon.$$

By the Lorentz invariance of D_s and σ, for $L_n \in \mathcal{L}^+$ such that $L_n^{-1}(z_n) = (0,s) = P$ we have that for every $\varepsilon, r > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geqslant N$

$$\int_{D_s(z,P)\leqslant r} |L_n^* f(z)|^2 d\sigma(z) \geqslant 1-\varepsilon$$

which implies

$$\int_{|z-P|\leqslant\sqrt{r}} |L_n^* f(z)|^2 d\sigma(z) \geqslant 1-\varepsilon$$

for all $r > 0$ sufficiently small, independent of ε and n, proving the proposition. □

6. THE TWO SHEETED HYPERBOLOID

In this section we consider the two sheeted hyperboloid

$$\bar{\mathbb{H}}_s^d = \{(y,y') \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : y'^2 = s^2 + |y|^2\}$$

with measure

$$\bar{\sigma}_s(y,y') = \delta(y' - \sqrt{s^2+|y|^2})(s^2+|y|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dydy' + \delta(y' + \sqrt{s^2+|y|^2})(s^2+|y|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dydy'$$

and adjoint Fourier restriction operator defined by $\bar{T}_s f = \widehat{f\bar{\sigma}_s}$, for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$.

$\bar{\mathbb{H}}_s^d$ is the union of the two sheets

$$\mathbb{H}_s^{d,\pm} = \{(y,y') \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : y' = \pm(s^2+|y|^2)^{1/2}\}.$$

What in this section we are calling $\mathbb{H}_s^{d,+}$ is what before we denoted by $\mathbb{H}_s^d$ (that change of notation is convenient here). In the previous section we proved that for $\mathbb{H}_s^{d,+}$ (and thus also for $\mathbb{H}_s^{d,-}$) extremizers do not exist for the cases $(d,p) = (2,4), (2,6)$ and $(3,4)$. Here we show that extremizers for $\bar{\mathbb{H}}_s^d$ do not exist either and compute the best constants.

The adjoint Fourier restriction operator on $\mathbb{H}_s^{d,+}$ is denoted by T_s and the one on $\mathbb{H}_s^{d,-}$ will be denoted T_s^-. For $s = 1$ we will drop the subscript s.

For sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ we denote $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A,\, b \in B\}$, the algebraic sum of A and B, and $-A = \{-a : a \in A\}$. We start with the following lemma

Lemma 6.1. *For $d \geqslant 1$ we have*

$$\mathbb{H}_s^{d,+} + \mathbb{H}_s^{d,+} \subset \{(\xi,\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : \tau \geqslant \sqrt{(2s)^2 + |\xi|^2}\} \tag{31}$$

$$\mathbb{H}_s^{d,+} + \mathbb{H}_s^{d,-} \subset \{(\xi,\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : |\tau| \leqslant \sqrt{(2s)^2 + |\xi|^2}\}. \tag{32}$$

$$\mathbb{H}_s^{d,-} + \mathbb{H}_s^{d,-} \subset \{(\xi,\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : \tau \leqslant -\sqrt{(2s)^2 + |\xi|^2}\} \tag{33}$$

Proof. The first assertion was already proved when we computed the double convolution $\sigma_s * \sigma_s$. We do it again. If $\xi = x + y$ and $\tau = \psi_s(x) + \psi_s(y)$, then $\tau \geqslant 2s > 0$ and squaring

$$\tau^2 = (\psi_s(x) + \psi_s(y))^2 = 2s^2 + |x|^2 + |y|^2 + 2(s^2 + |x|^2)^{1/2}(s^2 + |y|^2)^{1/2}.$$

On the other hand,

$$|\xi|^2 = |x + y|^2 = |x|^2 + |y|^2 + 2x \cdot y.$$

Using $x \cdot y = |x||y|\cos\theta$, with θ the angle between x and y we see that (31) is equivalent to the inequality for real numbers $a, b, s \geqslant 0$

$$(s^2 + a^2)^{1/2}(s^2 + b^2)^{1/2} \geqslant s^2 + ab \tag{34}$$

which is easily shown to hold, by squaring both sides.

We proceed in a similar way for the second part. Let $\xi = x + y$ and $\tau = \psi_s(x) - \psi_s(y)$. Then

$$\tau^2 = 2s^2 + |x|^2 + |y|^2 - 2(s^2 + |x|^2)^{1/2}(s^2 + |y|^2)^{1/2}.$$

As before we see that (32) is equivalent to

$$-(s^2 + |x|^2)^{1/2}(s^2 + |y|^2)^{1/2} \leqslant s^2 + x \cdot y,$$

which in turn is equivalent to the easy to verify inequality for real numbers $a, b, s \geqslant 0$

$$(s^2 + a^2)^{1/2}(s^2 + b^2)^{1/2} \geqslant ab - s^2.$$

As for (33), it follows from (31) by observing that $\mathbb{H}_s^{d,-} = -\mathbb{H}_s^{d,+}$. ☐

Lemma 6.2. *Let $d \geqslant 1$, then*

$$\mathbb{H}_s^{d,+} + \mathbb{H}_s^{d,+} + \mathbb{H}_s^{d,+} \subseteq \{(\xi,\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : \tau \geqslant \sqrt{(3s)^2 + |\xi|^2}\}, \tag{35}$$

$$\mathbb{H}_s^{d,-} + \mathbb{H}_s^{d,-} + \mathbb{H}_s^{d,-} \subseteq \{(\xi,\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : \tau \leqslant -\sqrt{(3s)^2 + |\xi|^2}\}, \tag{36}$$

$$\mathbb{H}_s^{d,+} + \mathbb{H}_s^{d,+} + \mathbb{H}_s^{d,-} \subseteq \{(\xi,\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : \tau \geqslant -\sqrt{(3s)^2 + |\xi|^2}\}, \tag{37}$$

$$\mathbb{H}_s^{d,+} + \mathbb{H}_s^{d,-} + \mathbb{H}_s^{d,-} \subseteq \{(\xi,\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : \tau \leqslant \sqrt{(3s)^2 + |\xi|^2}\}. \tag{38}$$

Proof. We know from Lemma 6.1 that

$$\mathbb{H}_s^{d,+} + \mathbb{H}_s^{d,+} \subset \{(\xi,\tau) : \tau \geqslant \sqrt{(2s)^2 + |\xi|^2}\}.$$

We start with (35). Let $\xi = x+y$ and $\tau \geqslant \psi_{2s}(x)+\psi_s(y)>0$. By squaring,

$$\tau^2 \geqslant 5s^2+|x|^2+|y|^2+2(4s^2+|x|^2)^{1/2}(s^2+|y|^2)^{1/2}.$$

Then (35) would follow from

$$(4s^2+|x|^2)^{1/2}(s^2+|y|^2)^{1/2} \geqslant 2s^2+x\cdot y,$$

which is equivalent to the easy to verify inequality for real numbers $a,b,s\geqslant 0$

$$(4s^2+a^2)^{1/2}(s^2+b^2)^{1/2}\geqslant 2s^2+ab.$$

We now establish (37). Let $\xi = x+y$, $\tau \geqslant \psi_{2s}(x)-\psi_s(y)$. If $\tau\geqslant 0$ we are done, so suppose that $0\geqslant \tau \geqslant \psi_{2s}(x)-\psi_s(y)$, then

$$\tau^2 \leqslant 5s^2+|x|^2+|y|^2-2(4s^2+|x|^2)^{1/2}(s^2+|y|^2)^{1/2},$$

and (37) would follow from

$$-(4s^2+|x|^2)^{1/2}(s^2+|y|^2)^{1/2}\leqslant 2s^2+x\cdot y,$$

which is equivalent to show

$$(4s^2+a^2)^{1/2}(s^2+b^2)^{1/2}\geqslant ab-2s^2$$

for all $a,b,s\geqslant 0$ and this last inequality holds.

Both (36) and (38) can be proved in a similar way. □

For a function $f\in L^2(\bar{\mathbb{H}}_s^d)$ we can write $f=f_+ + f_-$, where f_+ is supported on $\mathbb{H}_s^{d,+}$, and f_- on $\mathbb{H}_s^{d,-}$. One then has $\|f\|^2_{L^2(\bar{\mathbb{H}}_s^d)}=\|f_+\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{H}_s^{d,+})}+\|f_-\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{H}_s^{d,-})}$.

Proposition 6.3. *Let* $d\in\{2,3\}$ *and* $f\in L^2(\bar{\mathbb{H}}_s^d)$, $f\neq 0$. *Then*

$$\|\bar{T}_s f\|^4_{L^4}\|f\|^{-4}_{L^2(\bar{\mathbb{H}}_s^d)}\leqslant \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{H}^4_{d,4,s}. \tag{39}$$

If there is equality in (39) *then*

$$\|T_s f_+\|_{L^4}=\mathbf{H}_{d,4,s}\|f_+\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}_s^{d,+})} \text{ and } \|T_s^- f_-\|_{L^4}=\mathbf{H}_{d,4,s}\|f_-\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}_s^{d,-})}.$$

Moreover if $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *is an extremizing sequence then* $\{f_{n,+}/\|f_{n,+}\|_2\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *and* $\{f_{n,-}/\|f_{n,-}\|_2\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *are extremizing sequences for* T_s *and* T_s^- *in* $\mathbb{H}_s^{d,+}$ *and* $\mathbb{H}_s^{d,-}$ *respectively.*

Proof. This is contained in [4, pg. 754-755]. We will restrict attention to the case $s=1$, but the other cases follow in the same way, or by the use of scaling. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\bar{T}f\|^4_{L^4}&=\|Tf_+ + T^-f_-\|^4_{L^4}=\|(Tf_+ + T^-f_-)^2\|^2_{L^2}\\&=\|(Tf_+)^2+(T^-f_-)^2+2(Tf_+)(T^-f_-)\|^2_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Using that product transforms into convolution under the Fourier transform we see that the Fourier transforms of $(Tf_+)^2$, $(T^-f_-)^2$ and $(Tf_+)(T^-f_-)$ are supported on

$\mathbb{H}^{d,+}+\mathbb{H}^{d,+}$, $\mathbb{H}^{d,-}+\mathbb{H}^{d,-}$ and $\mathbb{H}^{d,+}+\mathbb{H}^{d,-}$ respectively. Those three sets have intersection of measure zero by Lemma 6.1, therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\bar{T}f\|_{L^4}^4 &= \|Tf_+\|_{L^4}^4+\|T^-f_-\|_{L^4}^4+4\|(Tf_+)(T^-f_-)\|_{L^2}^2 && (40)\\
&\leqslant \mathbf{H}_{d,4}^4(\|f_+\|_{L^2}^4+\|f_-\|_{L^2}^4+4\|f_+\|_{L^2}^2\|f_-\|_{L^2}^2) && (41)\\
&\leqslant \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{H}_{d,4}^4(\|f_+\|_{L^2}^2+\|f_-\|_{L^2}^2)^2 && (42)\\
&= \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{H}_{d,4}^4\|f\|_{L^2}^4, && (43)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the sharp inequality (as in [4])

$$X^2+Y^2+4XY\leqslant \frac{3}{2}(X+Y)^2,\quad X,Y\geqslant 0 \tag{44}$$

where equality holds if and only if $X=Y$. Thus,

$$\|\bar{T}f\|_{L^4}^4\|f\|_{L^2(\bar{\mathbb{H}}^d)}^{-4}\leqslant \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{H}_{d,4}^4. \tag{45}$$

For $f\neq 0$ there is equality in (45) if and only if there is equality in (41) and (42). There is equality in (41) if and only if $\|Tf_+\|_{L^4}=\mathbf{H}_{d,4}\|f_+\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^{d,+})}$, $\|T^-f_-\|_{L^4}=\mathbf{H}_{d,4}\|f_-\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^{d,-})}$ and $|Tf_+|=|T^-f_-|$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^d$. There is equality in (42) if and only if $\|f_+\|_2=\|f_-\|_2$.

Let $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an extremizing sequence, i.e. $\|f_n\|_2\leqslant 1$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|Tf_n\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^d)}=\mathbf{H}_{d,4}$. For the decomposition $f_n=f_{n,+}+f_{n,-}$, we see that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(\|f_{n,+}\|_{L^2}^4+\|f_{n,-}\|_{L^2}^4+4\|f_{n,+}\|_{L^2}^2\|f_{n,-}\|_{L^2}^2)=\frac{3}{2}.$$

This implies that if $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|f_{n,+}\|_{L^2}$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|f_{n,-}\|_{L^2}$ exist then they must be equal, and so equal to $1/\sqrt{2}$. Therefore any subsequence has a convergent subsequence with limit $1/\sqrt{2}$. This implies the existence of both limits and $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty}\|f_{n,+}\|_{L^2}=\lim\limits_{n\to\infty}\|f_{n,-}\|_{L^2}=1/\sqrt{2}$

If we write $\|Tf_{n,+}\|_4=a_n\mathbf{H}_{d,4}\|f_+\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^{d,+})}$ and $\|T^-f_{n,-}\|_4=b_n\mathbf{H}_{d,4}\|f_-\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^{d,-})}$. Then, as before, $\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n\|f_{n,+}\|_2=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, and so $\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n=1$, and similarly $\lim_{n\to\infty}b_n=1$.

Hence, $\{f_{n,+}/\|f_{n,+}\|_2\}_n$ and $\{f_{n,-}/\|f_{n,-}\|_2\}_n$ are extremizing sequences. □

Corollary 6.4. *For $d\in\{2,3\}$ and $s>0$ we have*

$$\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{d,4,s}=(3/2)^{1/4}\mathbf{H}_{d,4,s}.$$

Moreover extremizers for the restriction inequality on $\bar{\mathbb{H}}_s^d$ do not exist.

Proof. The only part missing is the lower bound for the value of the best constant. For this, one notes that if we take $\{f_{n,+}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ to be an extremizing sequence for T_s, then by identifying a function on $\mathbb{H}_s^{d,\pm}$ with a function from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to $\mathbb{R}$ we let $f_{n,-}(y)=\overline{f_{n,+}}(y)$, $y\in\mathbb{R}^d$, that is, the complex conjugate of $f_{n,+}$. Then $f_n=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(f_{n,+}+f_{n,-})$ is an extremizing sequence on $\bar{\mathbb{H}}_s^d$ since (41) becomes equality and (42) becomes equality in the limit $n\to\infty$. □

Proposition 6.5. *Let $d \in \{1,2\}$ and $f \in L^2(\bar{\mathbb{H}}^d_s)$, $f \neq 0$. Then*

$$\|\bar{T}_s f\|^6_{L^6} \|f\|^{-6}_{L^2(\bar{\mathbb{H}}^d_s)} \leqslant \frac{25}{4} \mathbf{H}^6_{d,6,s}. \tag{46}$$

If there is equality in (46) *then*

$$\|T_s f_+\|_{L^4} = \mathbf{H}_{d,6,s} \|f_+\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^{d,+}_s)} \text{ and } \|T^-_s f_-\|_{L^4} = \mathbf{H}_{d,6,s} \|f_-\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^{d,-}_s)}.$$

Moreover if $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an extremizing sequence then $\{f_{n,+}/\|f_{n,+}\|_2\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{f_{n,-}/\|f_{n,-}\|_2\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are extremizing sequences for the adjoint restriction inequality in $\mathbb{H}^{d,+}_s$ and $\mathbb{H}^{d,-}_s$ respectively.

Proof. A proof of this is contained in [4, pg. 758-760]. It follows the same lines as Proposition 6.3. One first writes $\|\bar{T}_s f\|^6_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \|(\bar{T}_s f)^3\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ and $f = f_+ + f_-$. Expanding $(\bar{T}_s f_+ + \bar{T}_s f_-)^3$ and using Plancherel's Theorem together with Lemma (6.2) plus Hölder's inequality one obtains (46). Equality in (46) and the property of extremizing sequences stated in the lemma are handled as in the proof of Lemma 6.3. We skip the details. ☐

Corollary 6.6. *For $d = 2$ and $s > 0$ we have*

$$\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{2,6,s} = (5/2)^{1/3} \mathbf{H}_{2,6,s}.$$

Moreover extremizers for the restriction inequality on $\bar{\mathbb{H}}^2_s$ do not exist.

Proof. The lower bound on $\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{2,6,s}$ can be obtained by considering an extremizing sequence $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ for the extension operator on the upper sheet of $\bar{\mathbb{H}}^{2,+}_s$ as was done in the proof of Corollary 6.4. ☐

Proposition 6.3 and Proposition 6.5 give the proof of the second part of Theorem 1.2.

7. Appendix 1: Scaling

Here we record the scaling for the family of operators $\{T_s\}_{s>0}$. Recall from the introduction that for $s > 0$, $\mathbb{H}^d_s := \{(y, \sqrt{s^2 + |y|^2}) : y \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ equipped with the measure $\sigma_s(y,y') = \delta(y' - \sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}) \frac{dy dy'}{\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}}$.

The operator T_s defined on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$T_s f(x,t) = \widehat{f\sigma_s}(-x,-t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ix\cdot y} e^{it\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}} f(y) \frac{dy}{\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}}.$$

We want to show that $\mathbf{H}_{d,p,s}$ defined in (8) satisfies (9). If we make the change of variables $v = sy$ in the expression defining $Tf(x,t)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} Tf(x,t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ix\cdot y} e^{it\sqrt{1+|y|^2}} f(y) \frac{dy}{\sqrt{1+|y|^2}} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{is^{-1}x\cdot y} e^{it\sqrt{1+s^{-2}|y|^2}} f(s^{-1}y) \frac{s^{-d}dy}{\sqrt{1+s^{-2}|y|^2}} \\ &= s^{-d+3/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{is^{-1}x\cdot y} e^{is^{-1}t\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}} s^{-1/2} f(s^{-1}y) \frac{dy}{\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}} \end{aligned}$$

from where $s^{d-3/2}Tf(sx,st) = T_s(s^{-1/2}f(s^{-1}\cdot))(x,t)$ and it follows that

$$s^{d-3/2-(d+1)/p} \|Tf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} = \|T_s s^{-1/2} f(s^{-1}\cdot)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})}.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(y)|^2 \frac{dy}{\sqrt{1+|y|^2}} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(s^{-1}y)|^2 \frac{s^{-d}dy}{\sqrt{1+s^{-2}|y|^2}} \\ &= s^{-d+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |s^{-1/2} f(s^{-1}y)|^2 \frac{dy}{\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}} \end{aligned}$$

that is $\|f\|_{L^2(\sigma)} = s^{-(d-2)/2} \|s^{-1/2}f(s^{-1}\cdot)\|_{L^2(\sigma_s)}$, thus

$$s^{(d-1)/2-(d+1)/p} \|Tf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \|f\|_{L^2(\sigma)}^{-1} = \|T_s s^{-1/2} f(s^{-1}\cdot)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \|s^{-1/2} f(s^{-1}\cdot)\|_{L^2(\sigma_s)}^{-1},$$

and it follows that for all $s > 0$

$$\mathbf{H}_{d,p,s} = s^{(d-1)/2-(d+1)/p} \mathbf{H}_{d,p}. \tag{47}$$

8. Appendix 2: some explicit calculations for the case $d = 2$

The exponential integral function $\mathrm{Ei}(x)$, for $x \neq 0$, is defined by

$$\mathrm{Ei}(x) = -\int_{-x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{e^t}{t} dt \tag{48}$$

where the principal value is taken for $x > 0$.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $a > 0$ and $f_s(y) = e^{-a\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then*

$$\|T_s f_a\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}^6 \|f_a\|_{L^2(\sigma_s)}^{-6} = (2\pi)^5 (1 - 6as - 36a^2s^2e^{6as}\,\mathrm{Ei}(-6as)), \text{ and} \tag{49}$$

$$\|T_s f_a\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)}^4 \|f_a\|_{L^2(\sigma_s)}^{-4} = 2^3 \frac{\pi^4}{s} (-4ase^{4as}\,\mathrm{Ei}(-4as)). \tag{50}$$

Proof of Lemma 4.4. Using the expressions in Lemma 8.1

$$\lim_{a\to 0^+} \|T_s f_a\|_{L^6}^6 \|f_a\|_{L^2(\sigma_s)}^{-6} = \lim_{a\to 0^+} (2\pi)^5 (1 - 6as - 36a^2s^2e^{6as}\,\mathrm{Ei}(-6as)) = (2\pi)^5$$

and

$$\lim_{a\to\infty} \|T_s f_a\|_{L^4}^4 \|f_a\|_{L^2(\sigma_s)}^{-4} = \lim_{a\to\infty} 2^3 \frac{\pi^4}{s} (-4ase^{4as}\,\mathrm{Ei}(-4as)) = 2^3 \frac{\pi^4}{s}. \qquad \square$$

Remark 8.2.

1. It is not hard to see that the function $a \mapsto 1 - a + a^2 e^a \operatorname{Ei}(-a)$ is a strictly decreasing function for $a \in [0, \infty)$ which tends to 0 as $a \to \infty$ and to 1 as $a \to 0^+$. Then $\|T_s f_a\|_{L^6}^6 \|f_a\|_{L^2(\sigma_s)}^{-6}$ is a strictly decreasing function of a, for each fixed s.
2. The function $a \mapsto -ae^a \operatorname{Ei}(-a)$ is strictly increasing for $a \in [0, \infty)$, tends to 0 as $a \to 0^+$, and to 1 as $a \to \infty$. Then $\|T_s f_a\|_{L^4}^4 \|f_a\|_{L^2(\sigma_s)}^{-4}$ is a strictly increasing function of a, for each fixed s.

Proof of Lemma 8.1. We first compute the $L^2(\sigma_s)$-norm of f_a,

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_a\|_{L^2(\sigma_s)}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-2a\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}} = 2\pi \int_0^\infty e^{-2a\sqrt{s^2+r^2}} \frac{r}{\sqrt{s^2+r^2}} dr \\ &= 2\pi \int_s^\infty e^{-2ar} dr = \frac{\pi}{a} e^{-2as}.\end{aligned}$$

The formulas in (49) and (50) are easier to compute in their equivalent convolution form. Let $g_a(\xi, \tau) = e^{-a\tau}$ and observe that $f_a\sigma_s * f_a\sigma_s = g_a\sigma_s * g_a\sigma_s$ and $f_a\sigma_s * f_a\sigma_s * f_a\sigma_s = g_a\sigma_s * g_a\sigma_s * g_a\sigma_s$. Then, because g_a is the exponential of a linear function, $g_a\sigma_s * g_a\sigma_s(\xi, \tau) = g_a(\xi, \tau)\sigma_s * \sigma_s(\xi, \tau)$ and $g_a\sigma_s * g_a\sigma_s * g_a\sigma_s(\xi, \tau) = g_a(\xi, \tau)\sigma_s * \sigma_s * \sigma_s(\xi, \tau)$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned}&\|f_a\sigma_s * f_a\sigma_s * f_a\sigma_s\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-2a\tau}(2\pi)^4 \Big(1 - \frac{3s}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - |\xi|^2}}\Big)^2 \chi_{\{\tau \geqslant \sqrt{(3s)^2+|\xi|^2}\}} d\tau d\xi \\ &= (2\pi)^5 \int_{3s}^\infty \int_0^{\sqrt{\tau^2-(3s)^2}} e^{-2a\tau}\Big(1 - \frac{3s}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - r^2}}\Big)^2 r dr d\tau \\ &= (2\pi)^5 \int_{3s}^\infty \int_0^{\sqrt{\tau^2-(3s)^2}} e^{-2a\tau}(r + (3s)^2 \frac{r}{\tau^2 - r^2} - 6s \frac{r}{\sqrt{t^2 - r^2}}) dr d\tau \\ &= (2\pi)^5 \int_{3s}^\infty e^{-2a\tau}(\tfrac{1}{2}(\tau^2 - (3s)^2) + (3s)^2(\log\tau - \log(3s)) - 6s(\tau - 3s)) d\tau \\ &= (2\pi)^5 \Big(\frac{1}{2} \int_{3s}^\infty e^{-2a\tau}\tau^2 d\tau + (3s)^2 \int_{3s}^\infty e^{-2a\tau} \log\tau d\tau \\ &\qquad - 6se^{-6as} \int_0^\infty e^{-2a\tau}\tau d\tau - (\frac{9}{2}s^2 + (3s)^2 \log(3s)) \int_{3s}^\infty e^{-2a\tau} d\tau\Big) \\ &= (2\pi)^5 \Big(\frac{e^{-6as}(1 + 6as(1 + 3as))}{8a^3} + (3s)^2 \frac{e^{-6as}\log(3s) - \operatorname{Ei}(-6as)}{2a} - \frac{6se^{-6as}}{4a^2} \\ &\qquad - (\frac{9}{2}s^2 + (3s)^2 \log(3s)) \frac{e^{-6as}}{2a}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Rearranging the terms we have

$$\|f_a\sigma_s * f_a\sigma_s * f_a\sigma_s\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 = (2\pi)^5 e^{-6as}\Big(\frac{1}{8a^3} - (3s)^2 \frac{\operatorname{Ei}(-6as)e^{6as}}{2a} - \frac{6s}{8a^2}\Big).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_a\sigma_s * f_a\sigma_s * f_a\sigma_s\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\|f_a\|^{-6}_{L^2(\sigma_s)} &= (2\pi)^5\pi^{-3}a^3\Big(\frac{1}{8a^3} - (3s)^2\frac{\mathrm{Ei}(-6as)e^{6as}}{2a} - \frac{6s}{8a^2}\Big)\\ &= (2\pi)^2(1 - 6as - 36a^2s^2e^{6as}\,\mathrm{Ei}(-6as)).\end{aligned}$$

For the case of L^4,

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_a\sigma_s * f_a\sigma_s\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-2a\tau}\frac{(2\pi)^2}{\tau^2 - |\xi|^2}\chi_{\{\tau\geqslant\sqrt{(2s)^2+|\xi|^2}\}}d\tau d\xi\\ &= (2\pi)^3\int_{2s}^{\infty}\int_0^{\sqrt{\tau^2-(2s)^2}} e^{-2a\tau}\frac{r}{\tau^2 - r^2}dr d\tau\\ &= (2\pi)^3\Big(\frac{e^{-4as}\log(2s) - \mathrm{Ei}(-4as)}{2a} - \log(2s)\frac{e^{-4as}}{2a}\Big)\\ &= -(2\pi)^3\frac{\mathrm{Ei}(-4as)}{2a}.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_a\sigma_s * f_a\sigma_s\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\|f_a\|_2^{-4} &= -(2\pi)^3 a\frac{\mathrm{Ei}(-4as)}{2\pi^2}e^{4as}\\ &= \frac{\pi}{s}(-4ase^{4as}\,\mathrm{Ei}(-4as)).\end{aligned}$$ □

Our aim now is to give an alternative proof of Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 8.3. *Let $d = 2$ and $s > 0$. For $a > 0$ let $f_a(y) := e^{-a\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then*

$$T_s f_a(x,t) = 2\pi\frac{e^{-s\sqrt{(a-it)^2+|x|^2}}}{\sqrt{(a-it)^2+|x|^2}}. \tag{51}$$

Proof. To compute the function $T_s f_a(x,t)$ we use polar coordinates where the polar axis is parallel to x, so that $x\cdot y = |x||y|\cos\theta$, with θ the polar angle.

$$\begin{aligned}T_s f_a(x,t) &= \int e^{ix\cdot y}e^{it\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}}e^{-a\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}}\frac{dy}{\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}}\\ &= \int_0^\infty\int_0^{2\pi} e^{i|x|r\cos\theta}e^{it\sqrt{s^2+r^2}}e^{-a\sqrt{s^2+r^2}}\frac{r}{\sqrt{s^2+r^2}}d\theta dr.\end{aligned}$$

Now from [5, pg. 26] we have that for $b \geqslant 0$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} e^{ib\cos\theta}d\theta = 2\pi J_0(b),$$

where J_0 is the Bessel function of the first kind of order zero. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} T_sf_a(x,t) &= 2\pi\int_0^\infty e^{it\sqrt{s^2+r^2}}e^{-a\sqrt{s^2+r^2}}J_0(|x|r)\frac{r}{\sqrt{s^2+r^2}}\,dr \\ &= 2\pi\int_0^\infty e^{-(a-it)\sqrt{s^2+r^2}}J_0(|x|r)\frac{r}{\sqrt{s^2+r^2}}\,dr \\ &= 2\pi\int_s^\infty e^{-(a-it)u}J_0(|x|\sqrt{u^2-s^2})\,du \end{aligned}$$

which is the Laplace transform of the function $J_0(|x|\sqrt{u^2-s^2})$. It is known ,[7, pg. 129], that the Laplace transform of $J_0(a\sqrt{u^2-b^2})$, for $a,b>0$, is given by

$$\int_b^\infty e^{-\lambda u}J_0(a\sqrt{u^2-b^2})du = \frac{e^{-b\sqrt{\lambda^2+a^2}}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2+a^2}}. \tag{52}$$

for λ with $\mathfrak{Re}(\lambda)>0$, and the branch of the square root is the one that is real in the positive real line. For a derivation of this formula we refer the reader to [10, pg. 416].

Using (52) we conclude that

$$T_sf_a(x,t) = 2\pi\frac{e^{-s\sqrt{(a-it)^2+|x|^2}}}{\sqrt{(a-it)^2+|x|^2}}.$$

and the lemma is proved. ☐

Alternative proof of Lemma 4.1. Let $a>0$ be fixed and $f_s(y)=e^{-a\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}}$. From Lemma 8.3 we have

$$T_sf_s(x,t) = 2\pi\frac{e^{-s\sqrt{(a-it)^2+|x|^2}}}{\sqrt{(a-it)^2+|x|^2}}.$$

We note that if we let $g(\xi,\tau)=e^{-a\tau}$, then $g\sigma_s * g\sigma_s * g\sigma_s(\xi,\tau) = g(\xi,\tau)\cdot\sigma_s*\sigma_s*\sigma_s(\xi,\tau) = f_s\sigma_s * f_s\sigma_s * f_s\sigma_s(\xi,\tau)$, and thus

$$(T_sf_s(x,t))^3 = (g\sigma_s * g\sigma_s * g\sigma_s)\widehat{\ }(x,t).$$

Applying the inverse Fourier transform gives

$$g(\xi,\tau)\cdot\sigma_s*\sigma_s*\sigma_s(\xi,\tau) = ((T_sf_s)^3)\check{\ }(\xi,\tau)$$

from where

$$\sigma_s*\sigma_s*\sigma_s(\xi,\tau) = e^{a\tau}((T_sf_s)^3)\check{\ }(\xi,\tau).$$

From the explicit expression for T_sf_s,

$$(T_sf_s(x,t))^3 = (2\pi)^3\frac{e^{-3s\sqrt{(a-it)^2+|x|^2}}}{(\sqrt{(a-it)^2+|x|^2})^3}$$

and on the other hand, if $\mathfrak{Re}\, b>0$

$$\int_{3s}^\infty\int_\lambda^\infty e^{-b\lambda'}d\lambda' d\lambda = \frac{e^{-3sb}}{b^2}$$

so then

$$(2\pi)^3 \int_{3s}^{\infty} \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda'\sqrt{(a-it)^2+|x|^2}}}{\sqrt{(a-it)^2+|x|^2}} d\lambda' d\lambda = (2\pi)^3 \frac{e^{-3s\sqrt{(a-it)^2+|x|^2}}}{(\sqrt{(a-it)^2+|x|^2})^3} = (T_s f_s(x,t))^3$$

and thus

$$(2\pi)^2 \int_{3s}^{\infty} \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} T_{\lambda'} f_{\lambda'}(x,t) d\lambda' d\lambda = (T_s f_s(x,t))^3. \tag{53}$$

Using the representation of $T_{\lambda'} f_{\lambda'}$ in terms of the Fourier transform gives

$$(2\pi)^2 \int_{3s}^{\infty} \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} T_{\lambda'} f_{\lambda'}(x,t) d\lambda' d\lambda = (2\pi)^2 \int_{3s}^{\infty} \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \widehat{f_{\lambda'}\sigma_{\lambda'}}(x,t) d\lambda' d\lambda. \tag{54}$$

Combining (53) and (54) and using Fubini's theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} ((T_s f_s)^3)^{\vee}(\xi,\tau) &= (2\pi)^2 \int_{3s}^{\infty} \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \delta(\tau - \sqrt{(\lambda')^2+|\xi|^2}) e^{-a\sqrt{(\lambda')^2+|\xi|^2}} \frac{d\lambda' d\lambda}{\sqrt{(\lambda')^2+|\xi|^2}} \\ &= (2\pi)^2 \int_{3s}^{\infty} \delta(\tau - \sqrt{(\lambda')^2+|\xi|^2})(\lambda' - 3s) e^{-a\sqrt{(\lambda')^2+|\xi|^2}} \frac{d\lambda'}{\sqrt{(\lambda')^2+|\xi|^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We now make the change of variables $v = \sqrt{(\lambda')^2+|\xi|^2}$, so $\frac{dv}{\sqrt{v^2-|\xi|^2}} = \frac{d\lambda'}{\sqrt{\lambda'^2+|\xi|^2}}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} ((T_s f_s)^3)^{\vee}(\xi,\tau) &= (2\pi)^2 \int_{\sqrt{(3s)^2+|\xi|^2}}^{\infty} \delta(\tau - v)(\sqrt{v^2-|\xi|^2} - 3s) \frac{e^{-av}}{\sqrt{v^2-|\xi|^2}} dv \\ &= (2\pi)^2 \Big(1 - \frac{3s}{\sqrt{\tau^2-|\xi|^2}}\Big) e^{-a\tau} \chi_{\{\tau \geqslant \sqrt{(3s)^2+|\xi|^2}\}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\sigma_s * \sigma_s * \sigma_s(\xi,\tau) = (2\pi)^2 \Big(1 - \frac{3s}{\sqrt{\tau^2-|\xi|^2}}\Big) \chi_{\{\tau \geqslant \sqrt{(3s)^2+|\xi|^2}\}}.$$

The case of the double convolution can be done in the same way using $\int_{2s}^{\infty} e^{-b\lambda} d\lambda = \frac{e^{-2sb}}{b}$, for all b with $\mathfrak{Re}\, b > 0$. ☐

Remark 8.4. For any $n \geqslant 1$ and b with $\mathfrak{Re}\, b > 0$ we have

$$\int_{\lambda_{n+1}}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{\lambda_2}^{\infty} e^{-b\lambda_1} d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n = \frac{e^{-b\lambda_{n+1}}}{b^n}$$

and thus we can compute, in the same way as before, the n^{th}-fold convolution $\sigma_s^{(*n)}$ for any $n \geqslant 1$.

9. APPENDIX 3: SOME EXPLICIT CALCULATIONS FOR THE CASE $d = 3$

Proof of Lemma 4.5. For the L^2 norm we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|^2_{L^2(\sigma_s)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-2a\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}} = 4\pi \int_0^\infty e^{-2a\sqrt{s^2+r^2}} \frac{r^2\,dr}{\sqrt{s^2+r^2}} \\ &= 4\pi \int_s^\infty e^{-2au}\sqrt{u^2-s^2}du = \frac{4\pi}{a^2}\int_{as}^\infty e^{-2x}\sqrt{x^2-(as)^2}dx.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\lim_{a\to 0^+} \frac{a^2}{\pi}\|f\|^2_{L^2(\sigma_s)} = 1.$$

Using the convolution form of the inequality, our goal is to show

$$\lim_{a\to 0^+} a^4 \|f\sigma_s * f\sigma_s(\xi,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4)} = 2\pi^3.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 8.1

$$\|f_a\sigma_s * f_a\sigma_s(\xi,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-2a\tau}(2\pi)^2\Big(1-\frac{4s^2}{\tau^2-|\xi|^2}\Big)\chi_{\{\tau\geqslant\sqrt{|\xi|^2+(2s)^2}\}}d\tau d\xi$$

$$= (2\pi)^2 4\pi \int_{2s}^\infty \int_0^{\sqrt{\tau^2-(2s)^2}} e^{-2a\tau}\Big(1-\frac{4s^2}{\tau^2-r^2}\Big)r^2 dr d\tau$$

$$= 16\pi^3 \int_{2s}^\infty e^{-2a\tau}\Big(\frac{1}{3}(\tau^2-(2s)^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} + 4s^2((\tau^2-(2s)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \tau\log(\frac{\tau+\sqrt{\tau^2-(2s)^2}}{2s})\Big)d\tau$$

$$= \frac{16\pi^3}{a}\int_{2as}^\infty e^{-2\tau}\Big(\frac{1}{3a^3}(\tau^2-(2as)^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{4s^2}{a}((\tau^2-(2as)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\tau}{a}\log(\frac{\tau+\sqrt{\tau^2-(2as)^2}}{2as})\Big)d\tau.$$

Multiplying by a^4 and taking the limit as $a \to 0^+$ gives

$$\lim_{a\to 0^+} a^4\|f_a\sigma_s * f_a\sigma_s(\xi,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4)} = \frac{16\pi^3}{3}\int_0^\infty e^{-2\tau}\tau^3 d\tau = 2\pi^3. \qquad \square$$

Alternative proof of Lemma 4.2. The two fold convolution of σ_s with itself can be computed directly by using changes of variables. We will use the method of an earlier version of Foschi's paper [4], available on the arXiv. Given $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ we can use spherical coordinates adapted to ξ, that is, we can write $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^3$ as

$$\eta = (\rho\sin\theta\cos\varphi, \rho\sin\theta\sin\varphi, \rho\cos\theta)$$

where $\rho = |\eta| \geqslant 0$, $\theta \in [0,\pi]$ is the angle between η and ξ and $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi]$ is an angular variable. Then

$$d\eta = \rho^2 \sin\theta\, d\rho d\theta d\varphi.$$

If we let $\varsigma = |\xi - \eta|$, then

$$\varsigma^2 = |\xi-\eta|^2 = |\xi|^2 + \rho^2 - 2|\xi|\rho\cos\theta$$

and changing variables from θ to ς gives $2\varsigma d\varsigma = 2|\xi|\rho\sin\theta d\theta$. The Jacobian of the change of variables $\eta \mapsto (\rho,\varsigma,\varphi)$ is

$$d\eta = \frac{\rho\varsigma}{|\xi|}d\rho d\varsigma d\varphi.$$

The variables ρ and ς are subject to the conditions $|\rho-\varsigma| \leqslant |\xi| \leqslant \rho+\varsigma$. With this we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_s * \sigma_s(\tau,\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\delta(\tau - \sqrt{s^2+|\xi-\eta|^2} - \sqrt{s^2+|\eta|^2})}{\sqrt{s^2+|\xi-\eta|^2}\sqrt{s^2-|\eta|^2}} d\eta \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{|\xi|} \int_{\substack{|\rho-\varsigma|\leqslant|\xi| \\ \rho+\varsigma\geqslant|\xi|}} \frac{\delta(\tau - \sqrt{s^2+\varsigma^2} - \sqrt{s^2+\rho^2})}{\sqrt{s^2+\varsigma^2}\sqrt{s^2+\rho^2}} \rho\varsigma\, d\rho d\varsigma \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{|\xi|} \int_{R_s} \delta(\tau-u-v)\, du\, dv,\end{aligned}$$

where $u=\sqrt{s^2+\rho^2}$, $v=\sqrt{s^2+\varsigma^2}$ and R_s is the image of the region $\{(\rho,\varsigma)\in\mathbb{R}^2_+ : |\rho-\varsigma| \leqslant |\xi|, \rho+\varsigma \geqslant |\xi|\}$ under the transformation $(\rho,\varsigma)\mapsto(u,v)$. Using the change of variables $a=u-v$, $b=u+v$, so that $2du\,dv = da\,db$, we get

$$\sigma_s * \sigma_s(\tau,\xi) = \frac{\pi}{|\xi|} \int_{\tilde{R}_s} \delta(\tau-b)\, db\, da.$$

where $\tilde{R}_s$ is the image of R_s under the map $(u,v)\mapsto(a,b)$. Now it is not hard to see that $\tilde{R}_s$ is contained in the region $\{(a,b) : |a| \leqslant |\xi|,\ b \geqslant \sqrt{(2s)^2+|\xi|^2}\}$. Computing the region $\tilde{R}_s$ gives the explicit formula for $\sigma_s * \sigma_s$,

$$\sigma_s * \sigma_s(\xi,\tau) = \frac{2\pi}{|\xi|} |\tau - 2u(\xi,\tau)| \chi_{\{\tau\geqslant\sqrt{|\xi|^2+(2s)^2}\}},$$

where $u(\xi,\tau)$ is implicitly defined by the equation $\tau = u(\xi,\tau) + ((\sqrt{u(\xi,\tau)^2-s^2} - |\xi|)^2 + s^2)^{1/2}$ and $u(\xi,\tau) \geqslant s$. Note that simple algebraic manipulation shows that

$$(\tau - 2u(\xi,\tau))^2 = |\xi|^2\Big(1 - \frac{4s^2}{\tau^2-|\xi|^2}\Big).$$

This implies

$$\sigma_s * \sigma_s(\tau,\xi) = 2\pi\Big(1 - \frac{4s^2}{\tau^2-|\xi|^2}\Big)^{1/2} \chi_{\{\tau\geqslant\sqrt{|\xi|^2+(2s)^2}\}}. \qquad \square$$

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank his dissertation advisor, Michael Christ, for many helpful comments and suggestions.

References

[1] Emanuel Carneiro, *A sharp inequality for the Strichartz norm*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **16** (2009), 3127–3145.

[2] Michael Christ and Shuanglin Shao, *Existence of extremizers for a Fourier restriction inequality*, Preprint (2010).

[3] Luca Fanelli, Luis Vega, and Nicola Visciglia, *On the existence of maximizers for a family of restriction theorems*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **43** (2011), no. 4, 811-817.

[4] Damiano Foschi, *Maximizers for the Strichartz inequality*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **9** (2007), no. 4, 739–774.

[5] Wilhelm Magnus and Fritz Oberhettinger, *Formulas and Theorems for the Special Functions of Mathematical Physics*, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, N.Y., 1949. Translated by John Wermer.

[6] A. Moyua, A. Vargas, and L. Vega, *Restriction theorems and maximal operators related to oscillatory integrals in* $\mathbb{R}^3$, Duke Math. J. **96** (1999), no. 3, 547–574.

[7] Fritz Oberhettinger and Larry Badii, *Tables of Laplace transforms*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.

[8] Michael Reed and Barry Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. II. Fourier analysis, self-adjointness*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.

[9] Robert S. Strichartz, *Restrictions of Fourier transforms to quadratic surfaces and decay of solutions of wave equations*, Duke Math. J. **44** (1977), no. 3, 705–714.

[10] G. N. Watson, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1944.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CA 94720-3840, USA

E-mail address: `rquilodr@math.berkeley.edu`